EXHIBIT K

```
1
    Damrmtbc
   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
   SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
    ----X
                                      00 MDL 1358 (SAS)
   In Re: METHYL TERTIARY BUTYL
3
                                      00 CV 1898 (SAS)
          ETHER ("MTBE") PRODUCTS
          LIABILITY LITIGATION
                                       Telephone Conference
   ____X
                                       New York, N.Y.
                                       October 22, 2013
                                       2:30 p.m.
   Before:
            HON. SHIRA A. SCHEINDLIN
9
9
                                       District Judge
10
10
11
11
            APPEARANCES
12
12
13
13 MILLER AXLINE & SAWYER
        Attorneys for Plaintiffs
14
14 BY: DUANE C. MILLER
        MICHAEL AXLINE
15
        TRACEY L. O'REILLY
15
16
16 JACKSON, GILMOUR & DOBBS
       Attorneys for Plaintiffs
17
17 BY: JOHN D.S. GILMOUR
        NATHAN SHORT
18
18
19 McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP
       Attorneys for ExxonMobil
19
20 BY: LISA GERSON
        STEPHEN J. RICCARDULLI
20
21
21 SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON
    Attorneys for ExxonMobil
22
22 BY: WILLIAM STACK
23
23 SEPULVADO & MALDONADO, PSC
        Attorneys for Total Petroleum Puerto Rico
24 BY: ELAINE M. MALDONADO-MATIAS
        ALBENIZ COURET-FUENTES
25
                  SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
```

(212) 805-0300

Damrmtbc

If you want a one-paragraph declaration from Chevron Estella saying what I just said, that's fine, but I don't need to convene a deposition to have somebody say that in one and a half minutes. I didn't even take me one half minute, but I speak fast.

Chevron Puerto Rico. The objection is that this latest notice is duplicative of a notice on September 5th, the September 13th is duplicative of the September 5th one, and Chevron produced a 30(b)(6) witness but plaintiffs didn't ask the ten questions that were included on the notice, then they want to reopen.

My view of that one is if plaintiff had the opportunity to ask the ten questions and didn't, they probably ran out of time. That doesn't give them a right to do this deposition again. They are limited to written questions.

Plaintiffs, if you want to get those ten questions answered that you could have asked then, you can take a deposition on written questions. Just ask the ten questions in writing and you will get a written answer. That's it.

Let's go on to CITGO. CITGO has three objections. The first one says they didn't sell, supply, or deliver gasoline to retail service stations. I don't know quite what that means. It is called issue 23, and there are too many issues for me to go back to the underlying documents.

What's that about?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300